

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/719,691	BUEKERS ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
DHIRU R PATEL	2831	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Glatz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 October 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

## Claims discussed:

9, 24-25 and the specification.

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Claims 9 and 24-25 being revised to clear up 35usc 112 problems and the specification being revised to add means function as claimed in claim 2.*
**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

10/17/04 .

DHIRU R. PATEL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)